Promising Results of using the *Standard*

Merv Gilbert, PhD, RPsych

Centre for Applied Research in Mental Health and Addiction, Faculty of Health Sciences, Simon Fraser University

Mental Health

Commission of Canada

in prolection the total addition of the second seco

Commission de la santé mentale

du Canada

Jen Gorman Employee Benefits Coordinator-Marketing Belmont Health & Wealth

October 28, 2015, 12:00 - 1:00 p.m. ET



Important! Send questions/comments to 'All Panelists'











Presenters



Nitika Rewari

Mental Health Commission of Canada







Centre for Applied Research in Mental Health and Addiction, Faculty of Health Sciences, Simon Fraser University Jen Gorman

Belmont Health & Wealth





Agenda

- Case Study Research Project: An Overview
- Early findings of the Case Study Research Project
- Applying the National Standard -Implementation journey from a leading employer
- Q&A





Case Study Research Project



Research Objectives

- Monitor implementation process and identify gaps and challenges
- Determine promising practices
- Inform future revisions to the Standard
- Identify tools/resources to help with implementation
- Create a blueprint for future organizations that wish to implement the Standard

Case Study Research Project

The Research Team is following more than 40 organizations as they implement the National Standard for Psychological Health and Safety

It is an Implementation Study

 Examines the integration of innovative practices into complex systems (i.e. how does an organization systematically improve workplace psychological health and safety?)

It uses a Formative Evaluation Approach

- Documents the journey of organizations as they implement the Standard
- Individualized intervention and measurement strategies
- Ongoing feedback given to organizations
- Outcomes are seen as a work in progress, rather than a final result

Timeline



IQ: Implementation Questionnaire, OR: Organizational Review, II – Implementation Interview

Observations to date



Organizations



Reasons for Implementation



Percent of organizations endorsing this reason

Psychosocial Risk Assessment (Employer Perspective)



Workplace risk factors

Common Sources of Data



Percentage of organizations reporting use

Preventive Actions



Percentage of organizations reporting action

Emergent Actions



Percentage of organizations reporting action

Employee Knowledge/Awareness: Strengths and Gaps

6. This organization makes it clear how employees should report bullying or harassment.

Response	Chart			Percentage	Count
False				6%	5
Slightly True				23%	18
Mostly True				27%	21
Very True				39%	31
Don't Know				5%	4

5. This organization makes it clear how employees should report excessive job stress.

Response	Chart	Percentage	Count
False		29%	23
Slightly True		36%	28
Mostly True		19%	15
Very True		12%	9
Don't Know		4%	3

Implementation Progress



Implementation Barriers

- 1. Limited access to psychological health data
- 2. Significant organizational change
- 3. Inconsistent leadership support
- 4. Lack of demonstrated employee awareness
- 5. Inadequate resources
- 6. Conceptual confusion (e.g. critical events, excessive stress)

Implementation Facilitators

- 1. Active leadership support
- 2. Adequate structure and resources
- 3. Organizational size
- 4. Psychological health awareness
- 5. Existing psychological health & safety processes, policies, and programs
- 6. Prior implementation experience
- 7. Connection

Promising Practices...so far

- Communicate the organization's motivations for implementing the Standard to all stakeholders
- Establish sustainable leadership commitment
- Communicate to employees goals and actions related to assessment of psychological factors
- Establish clear protocols for identifying and managing psychological hazards
- Identify specific and sensitive psychological health and safety indicators

Promising Practices...so far

- Partner with relevant stakeholders
- Incorporate evidence from research and industry best practices into action planning
- Evaluate employee knowledge in the psychological health and safety domain
- Adopt a psychological safety lens in preparation for organizational change
- Build organizational capacity for evaluation of psychological health and safety initiatives

The Journey Continues...

- All organizations were already engaged in Psychological Health & Safety activities
- Research participation and feedback helped organizations chart their route
- All organizations are taking action...now we need to determine what works
- The Standard is a map, not a destination





In The Spotlight

Belmont Health & Wealth







About Belmont

- Insurance Broker
 - Employee Benefits, Financial Planning, Pension Management
- 30 Employees across 2 locations
 - Dartmouth, Nova Scotia
 - Saint John, New Brunswick
- Office Environment



Reasons for our Involvement

- 1. Right thing to do
- 2. Leader in promoting a "healthy" workplace culture
- 3. Gaining insight on the standard to help our clients



What have we done so far?

- 1. Administered our own internal survey
- 2. Worked on weaknesses from the survey

• STRESS

- a. Communication
- b. New Technology/Processes
- 3. Completed Interim Survey
 - Lack of policy regarding critical event, bullying, harassment, etc.



Next Steps

- Working on mirroring communication and functions at both offices (Equitable Environment)
- Formalizing policies regarding critical event, bullying, and harassment
- Cultivating workplace culture through volunteering, team building, social gatherings, healthy initiatives
- Communicating the availability of EAP program and Psychological Services



Changes/Impacts

- Key stats from survey administered again.
- First administered in Fall 2013; re-administered Fall 2015
 - Communication and stress have improved slightly
 - Turnover is still a concern but looks to be decreasing
 - 2011 4
 - 2012 4
 - 2013 7
 - 2014 6
 - 2015 1



Lessons Learned

- 1. Communicate, Communicate, Communicate
- 2. Track Programs with survey and feedback
- 3. Small Steps are encouraged and accepted (not a race)
- 4. Utilize tools suggested by MHCC

Ex. Guarding Minds Survey Communities of Practice Mindful Manager



Questions





Resources



Commission de la santé mentale du Canada

Case Study Research Project

Case Study Research Project & Download Early Findings Interim Report <u>www.mentalhealthcommission.ca/case-</u> <u>study-research-project</u>

Questions and Answers -read about some of the experiences of organizations that are implementing the Standard <u>www.mentalhealthcommission.ca/imple</u> <u>mentation-q-a</u>

National Standard

National Standard for Psychological Health and Safety in the Workplace <u>www.csa.ca/z1003</u>

Assembling the Pieces - An Implementation Guide to the National Standard for Psychological Health and Safety in the Workplace

www.csa.ca/z1003

Canada Awards for Excellence for Mental Health at Work®

www.excellence.ca/en/certification





Next Workplace Webinar

Date: November 25, 2015 at 12:00pm to 1:00pm ET

To watch our past webinars, visit our website at: www.mentalhealthcommission.ca/English/workinar







How did we do?

Please fill out the survey that opens **after** you leave the webinar











Thank you

Mental Health Commission of Canada Contact us: info@mentalhealthcommission.ca Visit us: www.mentalhealthcommission.ca Follow us: ¶/theMHCC ☑@MHCC_ ▷/1MHCC in /Mental Health Commission of Canada

Centre for Applied Research in Mental Health and Addiction, Faculty of Health Sciences, Simon Fraser University

Contact: Dr. Merv Gilbert at merv@psychsafety.org Visit us: www.sfu.ca/carmha

Belmont Health & Wealth

Contact: Jen Gorman at 1-888-235-6169 Visit us: www.gobelmont.ca Follow us: ¶/GoBelmont ∑ @GoBelmont in Belmont Health and Wealth





Case Study Research Project Funders



Other project stakeholders include: Research team, steering committee and expert panel

